

Management Discussion and Analysis

of

Financial Position

and

Results of Operations

for the

Three-Month Period ended June 30, 2017

This report is dated August 24, 2017 (The "Report Date")

# Introduction

The following information should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 and the audited consolidated financial statements of Evolving Gold Corp. ("Evolving" or the "Company") for the year ended March 31, 2017.

These financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This discussion includes the results of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries:

Evolving Gold Corp. (Nevada, USA - Active)
 Rattlesnake Mining Corp. (Canada - Inactive), and
 Rattlesnake Mining (Wyoming) Company (Wyoming, USA - Active)

Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2017, describes all of the Company's significant accounting policies and a description of changes made during the 2017 fiscal year is included therein. During the year ended March 31, 2017, the Company's critical accounting estimates and significant accounting policies have remained substantially unchanged.

All amounts presented in this document are stated in Canadian dollars, the functional and reporting currency of the Company, except where otherwise noted.

## Cautionary Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis is intended to supplement and complement the condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 and the consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2017, and the notes thereto (collectively the "Financial Statements"). Readers are encouraged to review these Financial Statements in conjunction with a review of this Management's Discussion and Analysis. Certain notes to the Financial Statements are specifically referred to in this Management's Discussion and Analysis and such notes are incorporated by reference herein. Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those implied by the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on, but not limited to, material assumptions including: title to the Company's exploration properties; the level and suitability of exploration expenditures relating to those properties, including decisions regarding the impairment of mineral property expenditures; rehabilitation requirements; commodity prices; access to funding and capital markets conditions generally; various accounting estimations related to income taxes, share based payments and the valuation of available for sale securities; a sufficiently stable and healthy global economic environment; and other expectations, intentions and plans contained in this MD&A that are not historical fact.

When used in this MD&A, the words "plan," "expect," "believe," and similar expressions generally identify forward looking statements. These statements reflect current expectations. They are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including those factors disclosed under Risks and Uncertainties below. In light of the many risks and uncertainties, readers should understand that the Company cannot offer assurance that the forward-looking statements contained in this analysis will be realized.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statements were made, and readers are advised to consider such forward-looking statements in light of the risks as set forth below.

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on SEDAR at <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> or at the company's website at <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> or at the company or at the comp

## **Corporate Overview**

The Company became a reporting issuer in the Provinces of Alberta, British Columbia and Ontario on May 14, 2004. The Company has traded on various exchanges since inception, and currently trades on the following exchanges:

Canadian Stock Exchange (CSX: EVG since July 25, 2014))
NASD (OTCBB: EVOGF since 2005)
Frankfurt Stock Exchange (EV7 since 2007)

On July 25, 2014, the Company announced that it was voluntarily delisting from the Toronto Stock Exchange, where it had been listed since December 7, 2010 under the stock symbol T: EVG. The delisting was effective July 25, 2014.

# **Description of Business**

Evolving Gold Corp. ("Evolving" or the "Company") is a Canadian-based mining exploration company engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties.

The Company's focus is Canadian based mineral exploration properties, including the Lithium Lakes, Toro and Oxen properties located in Quebec, Canada.

# Changes in Management, Directors, and Corporate Activities

On May 3, 2017, the Company announced the acquisition, by staking, of the Oxen property, a package of seventeen claims located in the Labrador Trough, Quebec. The seventeen Oxen claims cover 788.59 hectares (7.88 square kilometres). Targets of interest on the Oxen property include zinc-copper-lead massive sulphide mineralization hosted in carbonatized basalt and black shales of the Baby formation. Two thrust faults cross the Oxen property in a north-northwest to south-southeast direction, which have the potential for serving as control structures for mineral deposition. Quebec government lake and river sediment surveys conducted in 2009 and 2010 defined multiple geochemical anomalies in cobalt, copper, zinc and nickel glacially down ice from the Oxen property. The glacial drift direction indicates that the source of those anomalous samples could be located on the Oxen property. The multiple zinc anomalies and associated copper are good indicators for volcanic massive sulphides. Evolving Gold intends to explore the property by prospecting and till sampling up ice from the numerous anomalies.

On July 5, 2017, the Company announced that it had received the analytical results of its Toro project till sampling program. Based on the results, the company has staked 70 additional claims in the areas where strong geochemical anomalies were defined, increasing the Toro project's footprint to a total of 130.32 square kilometres. The till anomalies correlate well with 14 priority magnetic targets that could correspond to gold/copper mineralization in iron oxide copper gold deposits, rare earth elements in carbonatites, intrusion-related gold deposits or lithium mineralization in pegmatite. Toro 7 and other readily accessible exploration targets will be the subject of further field assessment by an all-terrain-vehicle-based team to be dispatched in the first week of July 2017. A helicopter-based field program of the less-accessible exploration targets is planned for later in the summer.

# **Mineral Properties**

## Lithium Lakes and Toro Properties, Quebec, Canada

On June 16, 2016, the Company announced the purchase of the Lithium Lakes Property.

The property is located about 10 kilometres north of the Route du Nord, and between eight and 30 kilometres from the Nemaska Lithium Whabouchi project, and consists of four blocks of claims, totaling 105 active claims located on public land. The total area of the property at acquisition was 5,596.5 hectares, or 55.965 square kilometres. Access is provided through a road which originates from the town of Chibougamau, approximately 250 kilometres to the south-southeast. The main claim block extends 15 kilometres in a northeast-southwest direction and six kilometres in a northwest-southeast direction. A network of Hydro-Quebec access roads crosses the eastern part of the property. Several prospective areas may require the construction of ATV trails for local ground access.

Pursuant to the purchase agreement, the Company issued a total of 300,000 common shares at a fair value of \$93,000, and paid \$40,000. The Lithium Lakes claims are subject to a 1-per-cent (1%) net smelter returns royalty, whereby the Company will have the right, at any time, to acquire one-half of the royalty by paying \$500,000 to the royalty holder.

The property was subject to historical surveys that were used to generate eight high priority targets for lithium exploration.

On July 5, 2016, the Company announced that it had increased its land position by staking an additional 31 claims directly NE of the 100% owned Lithium Lakes Property recently acquired by the Company. The new claims add an area of 16.49 km² to the Lithium Lakes Property, which had a total area of 72.5 km². A few claims were transferred onto the Toro Property (see below) and the total area of the Lithium Lakes property is now of 7,031 hectares, or 70.31 square kilometres. The new claims cover the potential bedrock sources for three high priority targets from lake sediment anomalies that indicate the possible presence of lithium bearing pegmatites glacially up ice from the anomalies.

On July 28, 2016, the Company announced that it had retained Jean-Philippe Paiement, PGeo, MSc, from SGS Canada Inc., an independent qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, as the QP for Evolving Gold's Lithium Lakes project in Quebec. Mr. Paiement graduated from Universite of Quebec in Montreal (2006) with a BSc in resources geology and from Laval University (2009) with an MSc in earth sciences. Mr. Paiement specializes in ore deposit geology, resource estimation, geostatistics and structural geology.

On September 8, 2016, the Company announced it had completed its initial field mapping and survey program on targets the company had previously identified as potential pegmatite hosts for lithium mineralization. A number of pegmatite bodies were identified, along with minerals associated with fertile granites, such as green muscovite and garnets that may be associated with lithium mineralized pegmatite. Geological mapping, conducted from July 9, 2016, to July 23, 2016, indicated that the area surrounding targets T5 and T8 is of particular interest for lithium prospectivity. This represents an area of eight kilometres by two kilometres which current observations highlight as the most prospective for the presence of lithium mineralization. During this initial exploration program, the field crew was able to find a series of minerals associated with fertile granite and possible mineralized pegmatite of lithium-cesium-tantalum (LCT) type, the potential host rocks for lithium mineralization.

The geological team also identified a large circular structure (approximately 1,000 metres in diameter) associated with a topographic low and a geophysical magnetic high. This type of signature is sometimes associated with kimberlite or lamprophyre pipes, either of which are potential hosts for diamonds. This circular structure could also be associated with a carbonatite intrusion, which is sometimes associated with rare earth element mineralization. Other geological structures could also create a similar type of signature and further field exploration will be necessary in order to identify the source of this anomaly. Assay results of grab samples from pegmatite, paragneiss, granite and basalt units are still pending. The technical team will use the assay results to determine the presence of any chemical zonation and/or fertile granite compositions associated with potentially lithium-bearing pegmatite bodies (Selway et al., 2005). The grab sample duplicates will serve as field duplicates in a quality assurance/quality control program established by the company. Grab samples from bedrock outcrop were shipped to Actlabs (Val d'Or, Que.), where they will be pulped and assayed using the Ultratrace 3 package. This procedure combines INAA with near-total acid digestion and ICP-OES and ICP-MS analyses to provide the concentrations of 65 elements.

The field exploration team compiled the data in preparation for the second phase of groundwork, which will focus on the heart of the claims, located between targets T5 and T8, including further assessment of T1, which hosts the circular structure described above. The remaining unvisited targets were also part of the next field program. The historical geophysical data on the property are being compiled and will be reassessed along with the company's recent observations from the field by Dynamic Discovery Geosciences, to enhance the efficiency of field prospecting activities in the future.

On September 15, 2016, the Company announced it had increased its land position by staking 166 new claims for a total of 88.26 square kilometres in the vicinity of the Lithium Lakes property. The newly acquired claims were named the Toro property by the Company and the Toro Property also include target T1 that was previously in the Lithium Lakes Property. The discovery of a circular high-magnetic anomaly coincident with a topographic low on the Lithium Lakes property has expanded the company's focus to include diamonds. The new claims cover other magnetic anomalies of circular shape and of significant size that may be related to kimberlite pipes (it is important to note that other geological structures could also generate similar magnetic anomalies, and field validation has yet to be conducted).

The new targets are located in the La Grande and Opinaca geological subprovinces identified as the eastern extension of the North Caribou terrain, which hosts the Victor diamond mine operated by De Beers Canada (Victor is hosted in a kimberlite pipe belonging to an 18-pipe swarm, of which 16 are diamondiferous). Six key factors for kimberlite exploration (age of craton, magnetic high, topographic low, target shape, relationship with deep linear features and Keating score) were used to select the Toro anomalies, making them significant targets for diamond exploration. The company intends to perform a full desktop geophysical review of the different targets before proceeding with field exploration, which includes indicator tracing from till samples collected with the assistance of a helicopter.

Phase 2 fieldwork at Lithium Lakes performed geological mapping and prospecting in the area that was previously defined by the company as having the most potential for lithium mineralization. This area surrounds targets T5, T1 and T8, where minerals found during the first reconnaissance survey indicate the possible presence of fertile granites that may be associated with lithium mineralized pegmatite. Boulder-field prospecting was also done down ice from the individual targets. This phase of exploration also included field prospecting on targets T3, T4, T9 and T12, which were not part of the earlier fieldwork program.

On October 13, 2016, Evolving Gold Corp. announced it had completed the planning for an exploration program on the Toro property to assess the potential of the 24 kimberlite targets. The Toro field campaign, which was conducted by two geologists and two technicians, began on Oct. 12, 2016. The company simultaneously completed phase 2 of its field exploration activities on the Lithium Lakes property, initially announced Sept. 8, 2016, which was conducted by an additional two geologists and two technicians.

In this first phase of field exploration of the kimberlite targets, approximately half of the circular magnetic anomalies identified on the Toro property were selected for geological mapping, field prospecting and till sampling for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs) glacially down ice from the targets.

A till sampling survey down ice from the circular magnetic anomalies selected for phase-one assessment work was also performed. The coarse till samples were screened with a 20-mesh sieve, and 10 kilograms of the finer material were tagged, bagged and sealed on site. The samples were then shipped to C.F. Mineral Research Ltd. in Kelowna, B.C., to determine the presence of KIMs by means of heavy mineral concentration, optical picking, mounting of grains for microscopic analysis and the determination of their microchemistry using electron microprobe analysis (EMPA). The assessment process was estimated to take a minimum of two months at the time the field program was completed.

On July 5, 2017, the Company announced it had received the complete analytical results of its Toro project till sampling program from C.F. Mineral Research Ltd. of Kelowna, B.C. The exploration target showing the most interesting combination of metallic anomalies was Toro 7, located less than five kilometres from the Eastmain-01 road. Till samples were collected on a line 1,086 metres in length, down ice from the 900-metre-wide high-magnetic geophysical target. The highly anomalous Toro 7 values include two gold results (12,300 parts per billion and 11,800 parts per billion), three copper anomalies (110.5, 62.2 and 54.1 parts per million copper) and five bismuth anomalies (3.69, 3.97, 4.9, 6.52 and 8.97 parts per million bismuth). There were six additional anomalous gold results, all continuous with the highly anomalous gold results, providing a positive gold signal with a total length of greater than 800 metres and open to the north. Also anomalous were tin (four anomalous values), antimony (two) and silver (two), each of which are good pathfinders for gold mineralization. Other anomalous element levels down ice from Toro 7 were phosphorus (one), niobium (four), rare earth elements (REE) (four), tantalum (two), cobalt (one) and uranium (three). All metallic assays of till concentrate samples were interpreted using statistical population analysis. Values at or above the 90th percentile were deemed to be anomalous. Values at or above the 95th percentile were deemed to be highly anomalous. Gold values over 1,000 parts per billion (equivalent to one gram per tonne Au in the till concentrate) were deemed highly anomalous.

These geochemical anomalies at Toro 7 are consistent with iron oxide copper gold (IOCG) mineralization. Other features of target Toro 7 are also comparable with the characteristics of IOCG:

Table 1: Comparison of IOCG Deposit Characteristics with Target Toro 7

Criterion	IOCG Characteristics <sup>1</sup>	Toro 7
Gold and Copper	Disseminated copper and gold	Gold and copper anomalies in till. Two
	associated with iron oxide	highly anomalous and six anomalous Au
		values.

Other Metals	Enriched in F, P, Cu, Au, REE, U, Ag, Co, Bi and W.	Anomalous in P (1), Cu (3), Au (8), REE (4), U (3), Ag (2), Co (1), Bi (5), Sn (4), Sb
		(2), Nb (4), Ta (2)
Tectonic Context	Craton rift or continental arc rift context	Continental arc context is typical of the
		signature of intrusions in the Gamart
		Suite found on the Toro Property <sup>2</sup>
Crust thickness and depth of fault	Thick continental crust and deep faults	Thick continental crust and deep-seated
		faults (see NR dated September 15 <sup>th</sup> ,
		2017)
Period	Neoarchean, Paleo- and Meso-	Neoarchean (2.647 Gy) <sup>2</sup>
	Proterozoic, and Cretaceous	

Multiple geochemical anomalies were also found associated with other Toro exploration targets. The Toro 2, Toro 4 and Toro 24 geochemical anomalies may potentially be explained by an IOCG source. The Toro 1, Toro 16 and Toro 22 anomalies could correlate with a carbonatite source. Carbonatite intrusions share the same geological context as kimberlites as well as similar magnetic responses in the aerial geophysical surveys available to the company.

Toro 18 had three anomalous gold values (up to 22,000 parts per billion) and one anomaly in copper, molybdenum, lithium and tantalum. Toro 19 and Toro 21 had two anomalous gold values. Toro 23 had anomalous gold, copper and tungsten. Toro 24 till concentrates yielded three anomalous gold (up to 14,500 parts per billion) and copper values. These anomalies may be associated with intrusion-related gold mineralization near IOCG or carbonatite intrusions.

Toro 9, Toro 14, Toro 15 and Toro 20 anomalies have geochemical patterns associated with lithium-bearing pegmatites. Toro 14 is highly prospective, yielding anomalous values in lithium (four), gold (two), zinc (two) and copper (two), as well as niobium, tantalum, tin and silver (one each). Toro 14 also provided six till concentrate samples anomalous in total REE, with three such results well in excess of 1 per cent by weight.

Table 2: Anomalous Till Sample Results from the Toro Project

	rable 217 memaleus im sample nesants nom the refer reject
Exploration Target	Anomalous Till Sample Results (number of anomalous results)
Toro 1	gold (3), lithium (1), niobium (2), tantalum (4)
Toro 2	gold (4, up to 12800 ppb), copper (2) molybdenum (2), lithium (1), niobium (1)
Toro 4	gold (1), silver (1), copper (1), tantalum (2), niobium (4)
Toro 9	gold (1), copper (1), niobium (2), tantalum (3), lithium (1)
Toro 14	gold (2), copper (2), lithium (4), REE (3), niobium (1), tantalum (1), tin (1),
	zinc (2), silver (1)
Toro 15	gold (4), lithium (2), REE (5)
Toro 16	gold (2), niobium (1), tantalum (1), tungsten (1), REE (1)
Toro 18	gold (3, up to 22000 ppb), copper (1), molybdenum (1), lithium (1), tantalum (1)
Toro 19	gold (2)
Toro 20	gold (3), lithium (5)
Toro 21	gold (2), lithium (1)
Toro 22	gold (2), copper (1), lithium (1), REE (4)
Toro 23	gold (2), copper (1), tungsten (1)
Toro 24	gold (3, up to 14500 ppb), copper (2), tantalum (1)

Based on these results, the company staked 70 additional claims in the areas where strong geochemical anomalies were defined by the company's technical team, increasing the Toro project's footprint to a total of 130.32 square kilometres. The till anomalies correlate well with 14 priority magnetic targets that could correspond to gold/copper mineralization in iron oxide copper gold deposits, rare earth elements in carbonatites, intrusion-related gold deposits or lithium mineralization in pegmatite. The pending claims on Toro 7 and for the entire Toro project can be seen on the company's website.

Toro 7 and other readily accessible exploration targets will be the subject of further field assessment by an all-terrain-vehicle-based team to be dispatched in the first week of July 2017. A helicopter-based field program of the less-accessible exploration targets is in the planning stages and is expected to begin later in the summer.

Another goal of the till sampling program was to assess kimberlite indicator mineral (KIM) content down ice from selected circular magnetic targets on its Toro property. A total of 16 possible kimberlite targets were investigated for KIM, out of 24 such targets, selected on the basis of their geophysical characteristics. In the field, a sampling line was oriented perpendicular to the historical glacial flow and down ice from the target, with a sample spacing of approximately 100 metres. Each till sample was screened in the field to collect approximately five kilograms of fine material. For each field sample, a heavy mineral concentrate was isolated in the laboratory by C.F. Minerals using dense media separation followed by magnetic separation. These concentrates were then assessed for KIM using optical picking and microscopy, and later assayed for metal content. The KIM results for the potential kimberlite targets assessed in the phase 1 field program were all negative or inconclusive. However, the numerous anomalous and highly anomalous metal results in the till concentrate samples are strongly suggestive of other types of intrusive features with similar magnetic signatures.

#### Oxen property

On May 3, 2017, the Company announced the acquisition, by staking, of the Oxen property, a package of seventeen claims located in the Labrador Trough, Quebec. The seventeen Oxen claims cover 788.59 hectares (7.88 square kilometres).

The claims are located next to Osisko Mining Inc.'s Kan property in the Labrador Trough, and show similar geology to that property as well as multiple geochemical anomalies located glacially down ice from the property, indicating a possible source located on the Oxen claims. Subsequently, additional claims were staked by Osisko; the Oxen property is now entirely surrounded by Osisko Mining claims. Osisko Mining optioned its Kan property to Barrick Gold Corp., under which agreement Barrick Gold will have to spend \$15-million on the Kan property over four years to earn a 70-per-cent interest. Following the disclosure of this agreement, Evolving Gold acquired the 17 claims which are located approximately 12 kilometres east of the main Kan showing. Evolving Gold is confident that the amount of money to be spent by Barrick exploring the Kan area will generate significant interest in this region of the Labrador Trough, which may increase the value of the Oxen land package.

The Oxen claims include the lower, middle and upper units of the Baby formation, along with the Montagnais sills and the Hellancourt basalt formation. Targets of interest on the Oxen property include zinc-copper-lead massive sulphide mineralization hosted in carbonatized basalt and black shales of the Baby formation. Gold mineralization was also found in sediment-hosted polymetallic vein mineralization in the Baby formation nearby. The Oxen claims also cover the Montagnais sills unit which has demonstrated gold showings in proximity to the Baby formation. Two thrust faults cross the Oxen property in a north-northwest to south-southeast direction, which have the potential for serving as control structures for mineral deposition. Field exploration work will target banded iron formations which may be proximal to the thrust faults. Significant gold discoveries have been made at, for example, Meadowbank and Meliadine, where these geological features are present. Quebec government lake and river sediment surveys conducted in 2009 and 2010 defined multiple geochemical anomalies in cobalt, copper, zinc and nickel glacially down ice from the Oxen property. The glacial drift direction indicates that the source of those anomalous samples could be located on the Oxen property. Multiple zinc anomalies and associated copper are good indicators for volcanic massive sulphides.

Evolving Gold intends to explore the property by prospecting and till sampling up ice from the numerous anomalies.

## Jake Creek Property, Nevada, USA

The Jake Creek Property was located in northern Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 45 miles northeast of Winnemucca, and consisted of 699 generally contiguous, unpatented mining claims covering a total of approximately 14,405 acres. The Company was the sole owner of the Jake Creek Property claim block and held an undivided, 100% interest in the Jake Creek Property.

During the year ended March 31, 2017, the Company allowed the claims to lapse, due to the expense of maintaining the property with the Bureau of Land Management and the cost of maintaining operations in the United States, and accordingly the Company no longer has any interest in these claims. The property expenditures noted subsequent to the lapse of the claim areas results from expenses incurred to allow for the return of bonds held by various regulatory bodies, and from closing the remaining minor facilities of the Company in Nevada.

## Rattlesnake Property, Wyoming, USA

On July 28, 2015, Rattlesnake Mining (Wyoming) Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement whereby it sold the Rattlesnake property to GFG, a private company incorporated in the United States, for cash consideration of US\$1,314,000 and 2,000,000 common shares at a fair value of US\$450,000 (Fully paid and received. During the year ended March 31, 2017, the shares were sold). Rattlesnake Mining (Wyoming) Company retains a 2% net smelter return royalty with 1% available for purchase for US\$1,000,000 on production arising from the mining claims, save and except for 30 claims that are already subject to a pre-existing royalty. Rattlesnake Mining (Wyoming) Company may be entitled to an additional 1,500,000 common shares of GFG in the event an independent National Instrument 43-101 resource report defines an aggregate mineral resource (including the "inferred mineral resource" category within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101) for Rattlesnake Hills of at least 1,000,000 ounces of gold within four years of closing.

The Company's exploration and evaluation expenditures for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 and the year ended March 31, 2016 are:

	Lithium Lakes			Oxen	Jake Creek	June 30, 2017		
Acquisition and land maintenance	\$	1,144	\$	2,248	\$ -	\$	3,392	
Assaying		1,308		-	-		1,308	
Consulting - geological		61,707		6,561	6,119		74,387	
Field expenses and other		184		1,141	3,147		4,472	
	\$	64,343	\$	9,950	\$ 9,266	\$	83,559	

	Lit	hium Lakes	Jake Creek	Ma	rch 31, 2017
Acquisition and land maintenance	\$	158,352	\$ 702	\$	159,054
Assaying		8,248	-		8,248
Consulting - geological		178,707	38,993		217,700
Field expenses and other		104,619	19,843		124,462
	\$	449,926	\$ 59,538	\$	509,464

# **Results of Operations**

The results for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

# Three-months ended June 30,

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Income (loss) for the period	\$(127,666)	\$(242,303)
Basic and diluted Income (loss) per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.02)

The operating expenditures for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 reflect the following:

- Amortization of \$529 (2016: \$3,187) reflects the reduced amount of depreciable assets held by the Company due to disposals and assets reaching their end-of-life;
- Bank charges and interest of \$1,085 (2016: \$1,409) are roughly comparable;
- Legal expense of \$2,931 (2016: \$6,381) primarily reflects reduced financings this year compared to last year, as well as normal regulatory and corporate activities;
- Management fees of \$37,500 (2016: \$37,500) are unchanged over the periods, and continue to be accrued and not paid during the period;
- Mineral property expenditures of \$83,559 (2016: \$157,506) reflect a reduction in work this period compared to last year, as the Company was waiting for results from previous programs, and then commenced planning for programs to be

conducted in Q2 based on those results as described elsewhere in this report. The expenditures on Jake Creek were all related to reclamation and closure activities;

• Office, rent and salaries expense of \$54,724 (2016: \$66,928) reflect the cost to maintain the operations of the Company, primarily with respect to the head office of the Company and general corporate expenses. Expenses were generally comparable, including corporate travel and promotion. It is anticipated that corporate travel and promotion will continue at a higher rate as the Company continues to search for additional projects;

## Three Month Period ended June 30,

	2017	2016
Administration	\$ 16,368	\$ 20,740
Trade shows, marketing	19,513	15,736
Rent	15,139	13,709
	\$ 54,724	\$ 66,928

• Transfer agent and filing fees of \$2,074 (2016: \$5,173) reflect the level of corporate activities and ongoing costs to maintain listings, transfer services and the timing of corporate activities.

Non-operating items affecting the loss for the period include:

- A gain of \$3,615 (2016: \$nil) was recorded as a result of the recovery of the ARO previously expensed on US properties;
- A gain on settlement of debt of \$5,621 was recorded (2016: \$nil);
- The change in the fair value of warrant liability represents a derivative liability due to the denomination of the Company's shares and warrants in Canadian dollars as a result of previously reporting in US dollars. This liability remained until the underlying warrants expire on August 23, 2016. A gain of \$nil (2016: a gain of \$424) was recorded during the quarter as the underlying warrants expired and the liability was extinguished;
- A gain of \$20,681 (2016: a gain of \$9,347) was recognized on the change in the fair value of available for sale securities. A
  gain of \$19,500 (2016: a gain of \$29,990) was recognized on securities held for trading. The securities in these categories
  are part of the compensation received from the sale of various exploration properties and options on properties, and
  reflect the increase in the value of the shares and warrants of NV Gold held by the Company. A gain of \$154 (2016: \$nil)
  was recorded on the sale of certain securities;
- A gain of \$1,999 (2016: \$nil) was recorded with respect to the flow through premium recorded as a result of the issuance of flow through shares on a private placement;
- Foreign exchange was a gain of \$3,166 (2016: a loss of \$3,978). The higher volatility and reduced value of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar during the periods contributed to the variations.

## **Selected Annual Information**

	2017 Canadian \$	2016 Canadian \$	2015 US \$
Interest income	120	738	3,467
Share Based Payments	261,502	13,603	64,587
Exploration and evaluation expenditures	509,464	187,696	292,988
Comprehensive income (loss) for the year	(1,253,015)	2,467,468	256,726
Income (loss) per share, basic and fully diluted	(0.08)	0.16	0.02
Total assets	1,591,950	2,202,421	817,559
Total non-current liabilities	57,100	84,044	696,424
Working capital	737,943	1,281,061	(835,205)

# Summary of Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the results from the eight previously completed financial quarters:

	Fiscal 2017- 2018	F	iscal 2016-2017	
	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2
	June 30,	March 31,	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,
	2017	2017	2016	2016
Interest income and other	_	_	_	120
Share based payments	_	226,627	_	34,875
Exploration and evaluation expenditures	83,559	51,322	217,915	82,721
Comprehensive income (loss)	(127,666)	(627,847)	(269,579)	(95,286)
Income (loss) per share	(0.01)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Total Assets	1,453,819	1,591,950	2,190,315	2,355,861
Working capital	604,989	737,943	1,124,333	1,449,845
	Fiscal 2016-			
	2017	F	iscal 2015-2016	
	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2
	June 30,	March 31,	Dec. 31,	Sept. 30,
	2016	2016	2015	2015
Interest income and other	-	572	-	167
Share based payments	-	(6,914)	14,265	-
Exploration and evaluation expenditures	157,506	20,349	49,523	33,059
Comprehensive income (loss)	(242,303)	122,991	(94,389)	2,548,837
Income (loss) per share	(0.02)	0.01	0.01	0.20
Total Assets	2,145,262	2,205,717	2,179,516	2,429,419
Working capital	1,240,774	1,281,309	1,167,062	865,149

#### Interest Income

Interest income fluctuated with the amount of interest earning assets held and the interest rate earned thereon.

# Net Loss

Net loss varies based on normal corporate expenditures and certain periodic expenses, such as stock based compensation and gains on the sale of marketable securities. Stock-based compensation varies with the timing of vesting of option grants. A gain on sale of marketable securities is recorded when a sale occurs.

# **Working Capital**

Working Capital for all quarters presented above decreased due to exploration and administrative costs, and increased when assets were sold, recorded as held for sale, or when debt settlements occurred.

# **Capital Expenditures**

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, the Company incurred net expenditures of \$3,818 (March 31, 2017 - \$nil) for additions to computers in fixed assets.

## **Financing Activities**

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2017, the Company did not engage in any financing activities.

During the year ended March 31, 2017, the Company completed the following transactions:

- A private placement for 600,000 flow-through common shares at \$0.50 per share for gross proceeds of \$300,000. The Company paid \$18,000 in commissions plus expenses in connection with the private placement. As a result of the flow through provisions in the agreement, the Company recorded \$56,200 of the proceeds as a liability related to future tax provisions, and a gain of \$10,570 during the quarter amortizing the liability as a result of exploration expenditures incurred during the period. Proceeds received from the issuance of flow-through shares are restricted to be used only for certain Canadian resource property exploration expenditures incurred within a two-year period;
- A private placement for 1,000,000 units for gross proceeds of \$100,000. Each unit consisted of one common share in the capital of the company and one common share purchase warrant, with each warrant being exercisable for the purchase of an additional common share for a period of three years from closing at 15 cents per common share. No finders' fees or commissions were paid in connection with the private placement;
- The issue of 125,000 common shares for proceeds of \$6,250 upon the exercise of 125,000 stock options at \$0.05 per share; and
- The issue of 300,000 common shares for property at a fair value of \$93,000. See Mineral Properties above.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's aggregate operating, investing and financing activities for the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 resulted in a cash decrease of \$236,519 (March 31, 2017: Increase of \$809,265). As at June 30, 2017, the Company's cash and cash equivalents balance was \$1,188,958 (March 31, 2017: \$1,425,477) and the Company had a working capital of \$604,989 (March 31, 2017: \$737,943).

The Company has not yet put into commercial production any of its mineral properties and as such has no operating revenues. Accordingly, the Company is dependent on the equity markets as its sole source of operating working capital. The Company's capital resources are largely determined by the strength of the junior resource markets and by the status of the Company's projects in relation to these markets, and its ability to compete for the investor support of its projects.

The Company will continue to require funds to meet its obligations and as a result, will have to continue to rely on equity and debt financing during such period. There can be no assurance that financing, whether debt or equity, will always be available to the Company in the amount required at any particular time or for any particular period or, if available, that it can be obtained on terms satisfactory to the Company.

The Company continues to review its mineral property holdings on an annual basis. The Company does not have any other commitments for material capital expenditures either in the near or long term over normal operating requirements and none are presently contemplated other than as disclosed above.

#### **Transactions with Related Parties**

# **Key Management Compensation**

Key management personnel are persons responsible for planning, directing and controlling the activities of an entity, and include the Company's CEO and CFO. Payments to key management are recorded as management fees. Share based payments were incurred for both key management and directors. No Directors fees were paid during the applicable periods.

The following key management compensation was incurred:

	Three Months ended June 30,						
		2017		2016			
Management fees and salaries	\$	37,500	\$	37,500			
_	\$	37,500	\$	37,500			

Management fees are payable in Canadian dollars. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2017 and the year ended

March 31, 2017, the Company continued to accrue management fees. Unpaid and accrued management fees as at June 30, 2017, were \$210,500 (March 31, 2017: \$173,000) and are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

#### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

To the best of management's knowledge, there are no other off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on the results of operations or financial condition of the company.

## Critical Accounting Estimates and Changes in Accounting Polices

The Company makes estimates and assumptions about the future that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Estimates and judgments are continually evaluated based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. In the future, actual experience may differ from these estimates and assumptions.

The estimates and assumptions of the Company are set out in Note 4 to the annual audited Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2017, which are incorporated herein by reference. The reader is referred to those statements for a detailed discussion of the accounting policies.

The effect of a change in an accounting estimate is recognized prospectively by including it in comprehensive income in the year of the change, if the change affects that year only, or in the year of the change and future years, if the change affects both.

# **Future Accounting Changes**

The Company did not adopt any new or amended standards for the year beginning April 1, 2017 that had a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. New accounting standards, amendments to standards and interpretations that have been issued but are not effective during the period year ended March 31, 2017 are summarized in Note 3 of the annual audited Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2017.

# **Financial Instruments**

The Company is exposed through its operations to the following financial risks:

- Market Risk
- Credit Risk
- Liquidity Risk

In common with all other businesses, the Company is exposed to risks that arise from its use of financial instruments. This note describes the Company's objectives, policies and processes for managing those risks and the methods used to measure them. Further quantitative information in respect of these risks is presented throughout these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

There have been no substantive changes in the Company's exposure to financial instrument risks, its objectives, policies and processes for managing those risks or the methods used to measure them from previous years unless otherwise stated.

# **General Objectives, Policies and Processes:**

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the determination of the Company's risk management objectives and policies and, while retaining ultimate responsibility for them, it has delegated the authority for designing and operating processes that ensure the effective implementation of the objectives and policies to the Company's finance function.

The overall objective of the Board is to set policies that seek to reduce risk as far as possible without unduly affecting the Company's competitiveness and flexibility. Further details regarding these policies are set out below.

#### Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market prices. Market prices are comprised of four types of risk: foreign currency risk, interest rate risk, commodity price risk and equity price risk.

## Interest Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates. The Company is not exposed to significant interest rate risk due to the short term to maturity of its financial instruments.

## **Commodity Price Risk**

Commodity price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments will fluctuate as a result of changes in commodity prices. The Company is not exposed to significant commodity price risk as the Company does not hold significant marketable securities.

# Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that a variation in exchange rates between the Canadian dollar and US dollar or other foreign currencies will affect the Company's operations and financial results. The Company has significant exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuation. The Company is exposed to currency risk to the extent that monetary assets and liabilities held by the Company are not denominated in United States dollars. The Company has not entered into any foreign currency contracts to mitigate this risk. The Company holds balances in US dollars that could give rise to exposure to foreign exchange risk.

Sensitivity to a plus or minus 10% change in the foreign exchange rate of the US dollar to the Canadian dollar would affect the reported loss and comprehensive loss by approximately \$8,500 (March 31, 2017: \$4,500) as detailed below:

United States Dollar Denominated Balances	June 30,2017	March 31, 2016
Cash	\$ 331,653	\$ 373,309
Accounts receivable	-	-
Available-for-sale securities	-	-
Accounts payable	(416,820)	(418,478)
_	\$ (85,167)	\$ (45,169)
10% change in exchange rate impact	\$ 8,517	\$ 4,517

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to the Company if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. Financial instruments that are potentially subject to credit risk for the Company consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are maintained with financial institutions of reputable credit and may be redeemed upon demand.

The carrying amount of financial assets represents the maximum credit exposure. The Company has gross credit exposure at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017 relating to cash and cash equivalents of \$1,188,958 and \$1,425,477 held in deposits at a Canadian chartered bank. The Company considers this credit risk to be minimal for all cash and cash equivalent assets based on changes that are reasonably possible at the reporting date

#### **Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they become due. The Company's policy is to ensure that it will always have sufficient cash to allow it to meet its liabilities when they become due, under both normal and stressed conditions, without incurring unacceptable losses or risking damage to the Company's reputation. The key

to success in managing liquidity is the degree of certainty in the cash flow projections. If future cash flows are fairly uncertain, the liquidity risk increases.

Typically, the Company ensures that it has sufficient cash on demand to meet expected operational expenses. To achieve this objective, the Company prepares annual capital expenditure budgets, which are regularly monitored and updated as considered necessary. Further, the Company utilizes authorizations for expenditures on exploration projects to further manage expenditures.

The Company monitors its risk of shortage of funds by monitoring the maturity dates of existing trade and other accounts payable.

The following table sets out the contractual maturities (representing undiscounted contractual cash flows) of financial liabilities:

			reen 3					
Accounts payable and other liabilities	Up to 3 months	and : mon			ween 1 d 2 years	Ove yea	 Total	
June 30, 2017	\$	790,914	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 790,914
March 31, 2017	\$	795,765	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 795,765

#### **Determination of Fair Value**

Fair values have been determined for measurement and/or disclosure purposes based on the following methods. When applicable, further information about the assumptions made in determining fair values is disclosed in the notes specific to that asset or liability.

The consolidated statement of financial position carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents and trade and other payables approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. Due to the use of subjective judgments and uncertainties in the determination of fair values these values should not be interpreted as being realizable in an immediate settlement of the financial instruments.

#### Fair Value Hierarchy

Financial instruments that are measured subsequent to initial recognition at fair value are grouped in Levels 1 to 3 based on the degree to which the fair value is observable:

- Level 1 fair value measurements are those derived from quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; and
- Level 2 fair value measurements are those derived from inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e., as prices) or indirectly (i.e., derived from prices); and
- Level 3 fair value measurements are those derived from valuation techniques that include inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

The available-for-sale securities, Northern Empire and NVX, are based on quoted prices and are therefore considered to be Level 1.

The available-for-sale securities, GFG, is based on inputs other than quoted prices, but are observable directly and therefore is considered to be Level 2.

The fair value of the warrant liabilities is determined with the use of a fair value pricing model and is determined to be Level 3 liabilities.

# **Outstanding Share Data**

As of June 30, 2017, and the Report Date, the following table summarizes the outstanding share capital of the Company:

	December 31, 2016	Report Date
Common Shares	15,497,378	15,497,378
Stock Options	1,549,000	1,549,000
Warrants	1, 000,000	1,000,000
Total, Fully Diluted	18,046,378	18,046,378

#### **Risks and Uncertainties**

Natural resources exploration, development, production and processing involve a number of business risks, some of which are beyond the Company's control. These can be categorized as operational, financial and regulatory risks.

Operational risks include: the Company may not be able to find and develop reserves economically, the Company cannot guarantee title to its properties, the Company may have difficulty in marketing production and services, the Company must manage changing governmental law and regulations, the Company may have difficulty in hiring and retaining skilled employees and contractors, there are significant risks and hazards related to mining that are beyond the Company's control, there is no assurance that the Company will acquire additional mineral properties and any acquisitions may expose the Company to new risks, and the mining industry is intensely competitive for the acquisition of new properties, access to capital and hiring of skilled personnel. The Company continuously monitors and responds to changes in these factors and adheres to all regulations governing its operations.

Financial risks include commodity prices, interest rates and fluctuating foreign exchange rates, all of which are beyond the Company's control. Additional financial risks are the Company's ability to raise capital to continue funding its operations.

Regulatory risks include the possible delays in getting regulatory approval to, and permits for, the transactions that the Board of Directors believe to be in the best interest of the Company, and include increased fees for filings, the introduction of ever more complex reporting requirements the cost of which the Company must meet in order to maintain its exchange listing.

# Events after the reporting period

The Company has evaluated its activities subsequent to June 30, 2017 and has determined that there are no material events to be reported.